

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/162,849 09/29/1998		IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9310
		29/1998	YUMI SUZUKI	FUJA	
26304	7590	01/07/2003			
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN				EXAMINER	
575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585				ANYA, CHARLES E	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2126	#10
				DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003	#10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

lopy of returned advisory remailed

m



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

Central Files
12/11

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/162,849	09/29/1998	YUMI SUZUKI	FUJA	9310
7:	590 12/03/2002			
HELFGOTT (		EXAMINER		
EMPIRE STAT NEW YORK, I	TE BUILDING 60TH FL NY 10118	ANYA, CHARLES E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2126	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ter

		$\mathcal{L}$	n
	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/162,849	SUZUKI ET AL.	
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles E Anya	2126	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears n the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 27 September 2002 FAILS TO P Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this applic : (1) a timely filed amendment whic peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ation. A proper replication at the state of the application at the state of the application at the state of t	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of t no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f).	pire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perfee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	iod of extension and the corresponding am e of the shortened statutory period for reply Office later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fu	irther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No		,	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	on in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
<ul><li>(d) they present additional claims without can NOTE:</li></ul>	celing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):	,	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b s would be rejected is provided bel	) will be entered a	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	proved by the Exami	ner.

ALVIN OBERLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

\*Continuation She t (PTO-303)

Application No.



In claim 1 Applicant added the limitations "single" and "without assigning a separate task by the operating system to the SDL execution unit" that were not claimed before.

In claim 3 Applicant added the limitations "an SDL execution unit described in a specification and description language (SDL); an external environement description unit described in a programming language other than SDL", "single" and "whereby no sparate task is assigned by the operating system to the SDL execution unit and overhead of the operating system is thereby reduced" that were not claimed before.